

Critical Thinking in a World of Entertainment

Meira Berkowitz

In “The Culture Industry Reconsidered”, German-Jewish philosopher Theodor Adorno analyzes how modern culture, capitalism, and mass media shape society and human thinking (Adorno 1975). What once was a space for creativity and critical thinking has become a system for producing entertainment that mainly keeps people passive and easy to control (Adorno 1975). His work argues that the modern culture industry that produces movies, music, and television is more focused on making money and maintaining the social order than on helping people think or express themselves.

The problem is that culture is being used to control people. Adorno is concerned with how culture has turned into an industry; something that produces products for sale just like any other ordinary factory does (Adorno 1975). He argues that in modern capitalist societies (like the United States) culture is no longer about creativity, individuality, or critical thinking. Movies, music, and other forms of entertainment follow the same formulas, making them predictable, addicting, and easy to consume (Adorno 1975). This standard leads to what he calls “pseudo-individualism,” where cultural products might seem unique on the surface, but are all very similar underneath (Adorno 1975).

It is imperative that people of today’s society exercise their ability to think critically. Thinking critically is extremely significant because it helps individuals make informed decisions, solve problems effectively, and recognize misinformation or manipulation. Critical thinking encourages people to ask questions, evaluate evidence, and consider

multiple perspectives, which leads to better understanding and stronger communities. In a world filled with complex issues like politics, media, science, and ethics, critical thinking helps protect democracy, improve public debate, and support innovation.

Instead of being challenged by art or encouraged to think about the world differently, audiences are simply entertained. They are kept comfortable and distracted. According to Adorno, this keeps people from noticing or questioning social and political problems around them (Adorno 1975). The culture industry has become a powerful tool for maintaining the current system and so it encourages people to accept things the way they are rather than try to change them.

This issue hasn’t gone away. Today’s digital media environment has made the problem even worse. Platforms like Netflix, YouTube, and TikTok constantly feed us content that matches our preferences. Algorithms are designed to keep our attention and give us what we already like, which means we’re less likely to encounter new or challenging ideas. This supports Adorno’s idea that modern culture discourages critical thought and promotes conformity (Adorno 1975).

Other thinkers have also discussed this problem. For example, Guy Debord’s *The Society of the Spectacle* describes how life is increasingly dominated by images and appearances rather than real experiences (Debord 1994). Similarly, media theorists today talk about how news, entertainment, and

advertising blur together in ways that make it hard to separate truth from distraction.

Adorno doesn't offer a step-by-step plan for fixing this problem, but he does believe that recognizing what's happening is the first step (Adorno 1975). If people can understand how culture is being used to shape their thoughts and behaviors, they can start to resist it. This means not just passively consuming entertainment, but actively thinking about what we watch, read, and listen to and asking questions about who created it, why, and what values it promotes.

He also argues that truly independent or "autonomous" art can still offer a way out (Adorno 1975). This kind of art doesn't follow the rules of the culture industry. It's often difficult, strange, or uncomfortable—but that's the point. It forces the audience to think, rather than just sit back and enjoy. For example, experimental music or challenging films might not be as popular as blockbuster movies, but they encourage deeper reflection and emotional responses.

Is this solution persuasive? In some ways, yes. The idea that awareness is the first step toward change is a powerful one, and Adorno's concern about how culture can influence our thinking is a legitimate one. But at the same time, his solution feels limited. He relies heavily on the idea that individuals will somehow learn to resist the system by thinking critically, but they don't explain how this could happen in a world where even education and information are shaped by corporate interests. If schools, news outlets, and social media are all influenced by the same forces that control the culture industry, where will people learn to think differently?

A key critique of this perspective is that it underestimates how capable modern-day entertainment mediums are of encouraging audiences to interpret media in their ways. Especially as movies and television shows become more sensitive to pressing cultural and political issues, audiences might use them to talk about social issues or reinterpret them to reflect on their own experiences. Next, Adorno seems elitist. He prefers high-quality and high-class art over lowly pop culture. It feels like an underestimation of modern genres that can give a voice to marginalized communities that need to express resistance, not conformity. Lastly, it's worth noting that the culture industry today is more complex and complicated than Adorno's time. While big companies still dominate, the internet has made it easier for independent creators to share his work. Podcasts, YouTube channels, blogs, and other platforms have opened up new spaces for critical voices and alternate views. That being said, these spaces are still influenced by the same pressures of profit and popularity, so the struggle continues.

Nonetheless, Adorno's ideas are still useful. His analysis reminds us to look more closely at the media we consume and ask important questions about power, influence, and control. His work connects to broader political and ethical issues of today, reminding us even many years later just how important it is to think critically about societal and political norms.

References

- Adorno, Theodor W., and Anson G. Rabinbach. 1975. "Culture Industry Reconsidered." *New German Critique* (6): 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.2307/487650>.
- Debord, Guy. 2024. *The Society of the Spectacle*. PM Press.